भारतीय विमानपत्तन प्राधिकरण AIRPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

NO. AAI/CHQ/AERA/MYTP-PATNA/2019

23rd September, 2019

The Secretary, Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India, AERA Building, Administrative Complex, Safdarjung Airport New Delhi-110003

Sub:- <u>Comments of AAI on Consultation paper No 03/2019-20 in the matter in the</u> matter of Determination of Aeronautical Tariff in respect of Patna Airport for the first Control Period (01.04.2018 to 31.03.2023).

Sir/Madam,

Reference is invited to consultation paper No 03/2019-20 in the mater in the matter of Determination of Aeronautical Tariff in respect of Patna Airport for the first Control Period (01.04.2018 to 31.03.2023).

The following comments of AAI on Addendum to CP may also be considered for determination of Aeronautical Tariff in respect of Patna Airport.

· 🔥		·		· ·	'				
Sr.	Addendum Paper	AAI comm	ents/R	espons	ie	ñ -			
Nò.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······		-				
1	Refer Para n-7.4.4 of Add	lendum –Co	ost per	sqm of	Apron	<u></u>		2.000 C	K
	In view of the additional submission by AAI						.*		
	regarding 2,100 sq. m. w	AERA has c	onsidere	d Rs 47	'00 per	sam as	ön 01.4	16 con	sidering
	of GSE area,	S. Tax-6%.							
	inadvertently left out in	considering							
	the Consultation Paper,	calculation o							
	the Authority has		8 79	50 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (1					17. 17.
	relooked at the cost of					•	2.9	27. 	
	Apron The Authority	i a		,	ъ .				
	clarifies that the cost of	2.2.1 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.	1st (17-	.2 nd (18-	3rd(19-	4 th (20-	5 th (21-	-6th(22-	7 th (23-
8	pavement assets	Year	18)	19)	20)	21)	22)	23)	24)
8	comprising the GSE	Cost psqm with		it.	r.	45 -		4	
	area is not considered	inflation(5%)	4935	5182	5441	5713	[`] 5999	6298	- 6613
	under the Normative		,						
	Cost. The Authority proposes to consider	S.Tax-6%	296	311	326	343	360	378	397
	Normative Cost only for	, GST-18%	888	· 933	979	1028	1080	, 1134	1190
	the Apron area. Further,		000	933	9/9	, 1020	1000	. 1134	1190
	costs related to GSE		,			17	ю. Г	20 74	
	area and cost of earth								
	work are proposed to be			2					
	added to this Normative	2 2			.8	•	29 12	2720	
	Cost. The revised cost		×	8.	184				
8	estimate as proposed	20	11 20	50 50			-		, × 11
,	by the Authority works	*	ii Se						2
[]	out to be INR 10.46	n #							
ix.	• 	•					5% 		16051

राज़ीव गांधी भवन Rajiy Gandhi Bhawan

सफदरजंग हवाई अड्डा नई दिल्ली - 110003 Safdarjung Airport, New Delhi-110003

दूरमाष : 24632950 Phone: 24632950

crores, which has been detailed in the table -2, Addendum to CP no. 03/2019-20 Page 15.	AERA is requested to co considering GST as unde		the revise	a estimate	ed cost o
The Authority at this	Particulars		Phase-1	Phase-2	Total
stage has not	Area (sq.m)		9800	5550	1535
considered some costs					1000
like differences in GST,	Cost per sqm-(4/2016) (INR)		4700	<u> </u>	
site barricading, etc. in	Number of years of inflation		<u>5%</u> 3		
view of uncertainty in	Inflation Factor		1.16		
actual cost. However,	Normative cost(INR cr.)		5.34		9.0
the Authority will true up the RAB based on	Add: cost of GSE		0.63		0.9
actual cost during the	Add: cost of earth work		0.31		0.4
tariff determination of	Total cost- Normative (INR	cr.)	6.28		10.4
the second Control Period.	GST-18% Cost per sqm (INF Total cost of GST (In Cr)(9800*979)/10^7		979	1190	
	&(5550*1190)/10^7		0.96	0.66	1.6
	Total cost including GST		7.24		12.1
	Cost proposed by AAI (INR GST component is part of		7.6	······································	12.(
estimates from earlier INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The	façade, insulated roofing with existing finishes with reused except counters &	h minii	num cost	of construc	m facilit tion, ca
INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The Authority earlier considered a normative cost of INR 18.50 crores. As the cost proposed by AAI is less than the normative cost,	with existing finishes with	h minii & furnit ermina :- C/O E	num cost ure and ne I building a xpansion 8	of constructed to be di as scrap va Renovation	m facilit stion, ca sposed alue as
INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The Authority earlier considered a normative cost of INR 18.50 crores. As the cost proposed by AAI is less than the normative cost, the Authority proposes	with existing finishes with reused except counters & commissioning of new te below: -	h minii & furnit ermina :- C/O E	num cost ure and ne I building a xpansion 8	of constructed to be di as scrap va Renovation r allied struct	m facilit stion, ca sposed alue as o n of
INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The Authority earlier considered a normative cost of INR 18.50 crores. As the cost proposed by AAI is less than the normative cost, the Authority proposes to revise the projections	with existing finishes with reused except counters & commissioning of new te below: - NAME OF WORK Existing Terminal Patna Airport	h minin & furnit ermina :- C/O E Buildin ost	num cost ure and ne I building a xpansion 8 g and othe	of constructed to be di as scrap va Renovation	m facilit stion, ca sposed alue as o n of
INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The Authority earlier considered a normative cost of INR 18.50 crores. As the cost proposed by AAI is less than the normative cost, the Authority proposes to revise the projections to the cost proposed by	with existing finishes with reused except counters & commissioning of new te below: - NAME OF WORK Existing Terminal Patna Airport	h minin & furnit ermina :- C/O E Buildin ost crore)	num cost ure and ne I building a xpansion & g and othe % reusable	of constructed to be di as scrap va Renovation r allied struct Remarks	m facilit stion, ca sposed alue as h of ctures at
INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The Authority earlier considered a normative cost of INR 18.50 crores. As the cost proposed by AAI is less than the normative cost, the Authority proposes to revise the projections to the cost proposed by AAI, i.e. 13.66 crores. Further, the Authority relooked at the potential of reusing the assets. Some of these assets,	with existing finishes with reused except counters & commissioning of new te below: - NAME OF WORK Existing Terminal Patna Airport	h minin & furnit ermina :- C/O E Buildin ost	num cost ure and ne I building a xpansion 8 g and othe	of constructed to be di as scrap va Renovation r allied struct	m facilit stion, ca sposed alue as n of ctures at tructure usable used as
INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The Authority earlier considered a normative cost of INR 18.50 crores. As the cost proposed by AAI is less than the normative cost, the Authority proposes to revise the projections to the cost proposed by AAI, i.e. 13.66 crores. Further, the Authority relooked at the potential of reusing the assets. Some of these assets, like all equipment, have the potential of re-use.	with existing finishes with reused except counters & commissioning of new te below: - NAME OF WORK Existing Terminal Patna Airport Civil Work 5.	h minin & furnit ermina :- C/O E Buildin ost crore)	num cost ure and ne I building a xpansion & g and othe % reusable	of construct eed to be di as scrap va Renovation r allied struct Remarks Proposed s become un and can be	m facilit stion, ca sposed alue as tructure usable used as only
INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The Authority earlier considered a normative cost of INR 18.50 crores. As the cost proposed by AAI is less than the normative cost, the Authority proposes to revise the projections to the cost proposed by AAI, i.e. 13.66 crores. Further, the Authority relooked at the potential of reusing the assets. Some of these assets, like all equipment, have the potential of re-use. The Authority expects AAI to re-use these	with existing finishes with reused except counters & commissioning of new te below: - NAME OF WORK Existing Terminal Patna Airport Civil Work Plumbing work	h minin & furnit ermina :- C/O E Buildin ost crore) .11	num cost ure and ne I building a xpansion 8 g and othe % reusable 6.0%	of construct eed to be di as scrap va Renovation r allied struct Remarks Proposed s become un and can be scrap value	m facilit stion, ca sposed alue as n of ctures at tructure usable used as conly reused
INR 35.23 crores to INR 13.66 crores. The Authority earlier considered a normative cost of INR 18.50 crores. As the cost proposed by AAI is less than the normative cost, the Authority proposes to revise the projections to the cost proposed by AAI, i.e. 13.66 crores. Further, the Authority relooked at the potential of reusing the assets. Some of these assets, like all equipment, have the potential of re-use. The Authority expects	with existing finishes with reused except counters & commissioning of new te below: - NAME OF WORK Existing Terminal Patna Airport Civil Work Plumbing Work Electrical 1. work	h minin & furnit ermina :- C/O E Buildin ost crore) .11 .35	num cost ure and ne I building a xpansion & g and othe % reusable 6.0%	of construc- eed to be di as scrap va Renovation r allied struc Remarks Proposed s become un and can be scrap value Cannot be	tion, ca sposed alue as o n of ctures at tructure usable used as conly reused reused or specifi

	the situation after demolition of the semi- permanent structure and its re-use during the second control period and make suitable		HVAC & BMS Works	0.55	NIL	As this is being designed for specific use of extension of Terminal only , cannot be used at
	adjustments thereon.		Development	0.11	NIL	other place
			of Site Superior Finishes	2.84	NIL	Cannot be reused
			Interior Works	0.73	NIL	Cannot be reused
			PA System	0.3	NIL	designed for specific use of extension of Terminal only
			IT System	0.34		designed for specific use of extension of Terminal only
			Dismantling and Demolishing	0.23	NIL	
			Furniture and counters	0.226	60% can be re used	Summary 1000
			Equipment	1.37	NIL	designed for specific use of extension of Terminal only
			Total	13.66	-	
				crore		
3	Refer Para n-7.4.6 of Add	constru except standar AERA i (0.30 c counter Jendum	ction cannot be furniture and c d norms. s requested to cr6% of Civil s). - Cost of Ne	an be se e reused ounters conside work &	and can or which is 6. er residual & Rs.0.13 ninal Bui	aximum part of propositive disposed off as scr 0% of the total cost as p value of assets Rs.0.43 cr60% of Furniture a lding cost in the new termin

۴.			
« «		In the absence of any	
		specific additional benefit	
		accruing to the users, the Authority is not inclined to	
		consider the same as they	
	5	only raise the cost.	
		However, some of the items	
		like PMC, GST etc. will be	
		looked into at the time of	
		truing up the cost during	
		tariff determination of the	
		second Control Period.	
	4		lendum – Financing Allowance
		In addition to the capital	AAI has proposed funding of proposed Terminal Building
		expenditure items	including allied work through debts (60%) and internal resource
		discussed above, the	(40%).
		Authority had reference to	
		AAI's response on	As per AERA guidelines Rs. 34.30 cr as Financing allowance
		proposed funding for the	may be considered in RAB in FY 2022-23.
		capital expenditure. The	Addition made in last year Bo 711.90 or
		Authority notes that AAI has proposed use of debt for	Addition made in last year Rs.711.89 cr. Cost of debt 60% of addition in FY 2022-23 Rs.427.13 cr.
	ł	investment in the new	Financing charges in FY 2022-23 Rs.34.30 cr considering debt
		Terminal Building. In this	rate @ 8.03% p.a.
		regard, the guidelines	Tate @ 0.00 % p.d.
		(Direction No. 5/2011 dated	
		28th February 2011)	
		provide for a mechanism of	
		financing allowance. The	
		same is discussed below,	
		as if applicable and	
		permitted, the financing	
		allowance will need to be	
		added in RAB for respective	
		years.	
		As per Authority's	
		guidelines, the financing	
		allowance is computed as per the following formula:	
		Financing allowance = Rd	
		x [(WIPAt-1 + (Capex – SC	
		– CA)/2]	
		Where, Rd = cost of debt	
		WIPAt-1 = Opening balance	
		of work in progress assets	
		Capex = Capital	
		expenditure incurred during	
		a year	
		SC = Capital receipts as	
		contribution from	
		stakeholders	
		CA = Commissioned assets	
		The Authority notes that the	
		The Authority notes that the funding of actual quantum	
		of loan and rates are not	
L			

finalized. It is not clear when	
the loan will be drawn and	
its actual utilization.	
Moreover, in the absence of	
any data provided by AAI,	
the Authority is not sure of	
the quantum of WIP and	
capitalization over the	
period. The Authority has	
not proposed any financing	
allowance in the project	
cost. However, the	
Authority proposes to	
provide for financing	
allowance if adequate	
details are made available	
by AAI before issue of Tariff	
Order. If the same is not	
available at present, the	
Authority may consider the	
quantum of financing	
allowance at the time of	
truing up in the second	
Control Period.	

This issue with the approval of the Competent Authority.

Your sincerely

2* ** /

(D.K. Kamra) Executive Director (JVC & Tariff)