

6600 08 03 2022

F.No. AAI/JVC/Goa -Tariff/2021388

निदेशक (नि० एवं सां०)

Date: 08/03/2022

The Secretary, Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India, AERA Building, Administrative Complex, Safdarjung Airport New Delhi-110003

Sub: - AERA's Consultation Paper No. 29/2021-22 in the matter of Determination of Aeronautical Tariff in respect of Goa Airport for the Third Control Period (01.04.2021 to 31.03.2026). **Ref:-** AAI's counter comments in response to Federation of Indian Airlines (FIA) and GMR submission - Reg.

Sir,

72/57/2010/01

This is in reference to the Federation of Indian Airlines (FIA) and GMR comments received through AERA e-mail dated 02.03.2022

In this regard, please find attached herewith the AAI's counter comments in response to FIA's and GMR submission.

Thanking You,

Your faithfully

(R. Prabhakar)

General Manager (JVC & PPP)-I

Enclosed: As above

राजीव गांधी भवन Rajiv Gandhi Bhawan सफदरजंग हवाई अड्डा नई दिल्ली—110003 Safdatjung Airport, New Delhi-110003 दूरभाष : **24632950** Phone : **24632950** Response to Counter Comments of Federation of Indian Airlines (FIA) for Consultation Paper No.29/2021-22 dt:27 Jan'22 on determination of Aeronautical Tariff for Goa International Airport, Goa for the Third Control Period (01.04.2021 – 31.03.2026) and the Tariff Card.

	Comments of FIA	AAI's Reply
1	Revenue from Air	Navigation Services and Cargo services: (Refer 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 of the CP)
	It is submitted that as per section 2 of Airport Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act,	The methodology adopted by the Authority to determine Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) is based on AERA Act, 2008 and the Airport Guidelines issued by AERA. (para 3.3.1.)
	2008 (AERA Act), under sub-section (a), "aeronautical services means any services provided- (i)For navigation, surveillance and supportive communication thereto for air traffic management (v) for the cargo facility at an airport."	As per the guidelines, for the Second Control Period, the Authority had adopted the Hybrid- Till mechanism for tariff determination, wherein, only 30% of the Non-aeronautical revenue is to be used for cross-subsidizing the Aeronautical charges. The Authority has considered the same methodology in the true up of the Second Control Period and for tariff determination in the Third Control Period. (para 3.3.2.) The ARR under Hybrid Till for the Control Period (ARR) shall be expressed as under: $ARR = \Sigma ARRt5t = 1$ ARRt = (FRoR x RABt) + Dt + Ot + Tt - s x NARt'' (para 3.3.3.)
	It is submitted that considering the above	AAl submits that the tariff determination for airports by AERA is done only for the Aeronautical charges collected by the AAl of Major airports.
	provisions of the AERA Act, we request that instead of considering only 30% of the revenue from Air Navigation Services and Cargo services, 100% revenue accruing from the same should be considered to compute aeronautical revenues.	Tariff for Air Navigation Services (ANS) for India as a whole has been revised by MoCA whereas, AAICLAS (Cargo services) is 100% subsidiary of AAI and tariff is determined separately by AERA. However, 30% royalty from AAICLAS has been considered as Aeronautical Revenue.
	Accordingly, AERA should take into account the above and revise the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR)/tariff card.	
2		intenance (O&M) Expenses for the Second Control Period: (Refer 4.6, 4.6.4 and Table
	We observe that AERA has noted that the process adopted by Goa Airport for allocating the expenses is not transparent (Para 4.6.4).	 It is submitted that AAI has saved under. payroll and R&M, as projected in the Second Control period. The actual O&M Expenses i.e. payroll expenses of AAI for the 2nd Control Period have gone down in comparison to 2nd Control Period Order thereby resulting in savings as shown below:
	We further observe that Goa Airport has paid Interest/penalties to Government of India at	Particulars Approved Submitted Variation in SCP for True - up up
	CHQ & RHQ level (Para 4.6.4(b) and in this regard AERA has rightly stated	Pay Roll Expenditure71.659.7911.81

1

that the stakeholders should not be burdened with interest/penalties paid to Government of India, due to various lapses/delays on part of the Airport Operator. We support AERA's decision to direct AAI in scientific/ adopting a rational approach for justifiable allocation of expenses attributable to Goa Airport, in future.

Further, AERA has noted that Goa Airport should exploit the potential of its non-traffic avenues fully so that 30% of the same, by cross subsidisation, can be used to cover Aeronautical expenses (Para 4.6.4 (b).

In addition, it is observed that several actual O&M expenses submitted by Goa airport for the Second Control Period significantly vary from their respective projections made at the beginning of the Second Control Period. Further it is noted that while the AERA expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M over a period of time, still the O&M expenses in the Second Control Period spiralled and has further increased in the Third Control Period (Para 4.2.2). In view of the above, we would request AERA to undertake suitable independent study for efficient calculation of O&M expenses. For e.g., AERA has conducted a study for "Operations & Maintenance of Expenses dated December 2021" in the case of Kolkata Airport, with an intent to review these expenses.

 CHQ & RHQ Payroll exp.
 9.7
 7.72
 1.98

AAI has carried out a detailed examination of the Administration expanses & found that the Main reason for increase in Administration exp are as under:-

- Projection for the 2nd control was done based on existing contract @ Rs.7.15 lacs pm awarded in 2014 for 36 months and new contract was awarded on March 2017 @ Rs.30.88 lacs pm . The reasons for increase in cost is due to cost inflation and addition of new area -Admin Block, Western side Finger, paved area city side and airside.
- Further the New contract has been awarded on Sept 2020 @ Rs.41.47lacs pm for 36 months based on lowest offer received through Tendering process.
- The upkeep contract is awarded to the lowest bidder based on approved tendering policies.
- The O&M expenses are incurred by AAI are based on operational requirements. The expenses are incurred after due diligence based on the powers given to various authorities, and there are also tendering processes for awarding the O&M contracts.
- AAI will undertake a study for CHQ & RHQ allocations to devise a robust methodology for uniformity and standardization of allocations.
- AERA, while issuing Consultation Paper No 08/2021-22 dated 15.06.2021 in the matter of determination of Aeronautical Tariff in r/o Cochin International Airport Ltd, had undertaken a study on Study on Efficient Operation & Maintenance Expenses for Cochin International Airport Ltd (FY 2017-2021) where in AERA had stated in chapter External Benchmarking that Goa airport has the lowest O&M Expenses per PAX and per SQM of Terminal area(Refer Para 7.2.61) comparing with Airports having similar passenger Through Put. Table of O&M Expenses per pax and per sgmtr of Terminal Area as under

The various aspects related to O&M expenses based on passenger traffic compared across airports considered above are summarized in the table below.

Table 16: O&M expense comparison (passenger traffic wise)	acros	a select domestic airports	
---	-------	----------------------------	--

Airport location	Employee expense (INR) per PAX	R&M expense (INR) per PAX	Utilities expense (INR) per PAX	A&G expense (INR) per PAX	Total OI (INR)
chin	66	21	26	56	all all and
mbai		23	22	77	In the second second
Ina	49	15	5	63	Aurs Har
a	19	7	9	11	Man alles
lkato	95	33	36	17	
na	51	10	9	8	
medabad	40	26	24	24	
ubaneswar	51	23	9	86	

		7.2.5. The various a	spects related to	O&M expenses co	mpared across th	ne select airports cons
		The A state of the		re summarized in		s select domestic airport
		Airport location	Employee expense (INR)	R&M expense (INR) per sqm	Utilities expense (INR)	ASG expanse To (INR) per sqm
		Cochin	per sum 3140	985	per sqm 1250	2670
		Mumbal	3660	2285	2145	7620
		Patna	24240	7330	2450	30755
		Goa	2605	930	1300	1490
		Kolkata	8475	2910	3180	1550
		Pune	20295	3900	3605	3360
		Ahmedabad	5840	3915	3560	3490
		Bhubaneswar Note. The numbers in th	6320	2855	1170	10675
			C BUOYE KEDIC HAVE D	ren approximated to h	swast moniple of 5	
3	Traffic Forecast for Third Co	ontrol Period: (Refer 5.	4.1, 5.4.8 and Ta	ble 21 of the CP)		
	While we appreciate that					
	AERA has considered					OVID period traffic
	various study/reports by					ieve in the F.Y 24-
	international bodies like ACI, IATA and CAPA			•		. from FY2024-25
	India, we request AERA to					MoPA Greenfield
	conduct an independent				22.During a pa	ndemic Covid -19
	study for traffic assessment,		much of uncer			
	in accordance with the					nautical Revenue
	AERA Act.	and resultin	g huge increas	se in Actual sho	ortfall for the ne	ext Control period
		and attract	steep hike in	Tariff which	is unfavorable	to AAI & Other
	Further, as AERA has an	stakeholder	S.	,		
	opinion that with the					
	gradual revival of the					
	economy, increase in the					o 2025-26 may be
	uptake of the vaccines,	considered	as the panden	nic has not yet	ended and the	ere is no certainty
	measures taken by the	yet.				
	Government of India to					
	make the air travel safe					
	along with easing of air	Further AF	RA may like t	o offer their vi	ews	
	travel by various countries,	i uniti i i i	no thay into t		0110.	5
	the aviation industry is expected to recover at a					
	better pace in the next few					
	years. Thus, we further					
	request AERA to consider					
	traffic growth keeping in					
	view the removal of all					
	capacity restrictions by the					
	Ministry of Civil Aviation.					
4	Regulatory Asset base (RAB)/ It is observed that Goa	Capital Expenditure	or Third Contr	ol Period: (Refe	r Table 25 & 27	of the CP)
	Airport has deferred certain					
	capital expenditure from the	AERA had sought	various deta	ils/justification	s on the u	nplanned capital
	Second Control Period to	expenditure during				
	the Third Control Period.		Anne 🥐 we have no 🗆 📼			
		It is submitted that	these are legi	timate capex e	xpenditure m	ade after various
	In this regard, we	levels of approval wit	_	_	-	
	recommend that an	regional/central hea				
	adjustment of 1% or higher	consideration and d				
	of the project cost from the		uscussion at a			organization, the
						3

ARR, as deemed fit, is made by AERA for capital expenditure projects is/are not completed/capitalised as per the approved capitalisation schedule other than those affected solely by the adverse impact of COVID-19. Such adjustments can be made by AERA during the tariff determination for the Third Control Period.

Goa Airport has proposed capex in the respective period control and postponed it to the next control period. AERA has acknowledged the effect of the pandemic in the Second Control Period on certain capital expenditure projects. It is pertinent to note that AERA has observed in other consultation papers that a delay in the capitalisation of works would unfairly burden the airport users without passing on the benefits to them. As mentioned above it will take around two (2) three (3) years for the flight operations to reach to its pre COVID-19 peak levels.

In view of the above, in order to support the airlines to continue and sustain its operations, all non-essential expenditure capital proposed by Goa Airport be put on hold/ deferred, unless deemed critical from a safety or security compliance perspective. Further, in case Goa Airport wants to make capital expenditure, then it should be at no additional expense to the airlines until the project is completed and put to use by the airlines. Similarly, if any proposed Capex projects can be deferred from the Third Control Period to the Fourth

additional unplanned capex was categorized as "essential".

AAI submits to consider capex on case to case basis as due to pandemic/other genuine reasons which were beyond the control of AAI, work delay is unpredictable. AAI would always endeavor to ensure that the work is completed in time. Hence it is requested not to make adjustment of 1% or higher of the project cost from the ARR,

Regarding normative cost, it is submitted that Goa Airport had proposed major work of expansion of Existing Terminal Building with PDC- March 2023(FY2022-23) of Rs.182.13 cr. (Aero cost of Rs.121.18 Cr.) and the normative cost in compliance with the normative norms/approach as per the Order No.07/2016-17 had already shared to AERA along with the proposal. The details are as under

Abstruct cost for the Extension of Existing Terminal Building at Goa airport

					(in Lacs)
S.No	Desctiption	Extn. T.B	luilding	Existi ng TB	Total Cost
	Area (in SQM)	18300		62000	
1	CIVIL & Elect	12458.46			12458.46
	Modification of				
2	TB (Existing)			300.00	300.00
3	Pavement work				0.00
4	PMC @ 4.28%	669.23			669.23
5	Airport system		3227.45		3227.45
6	IT System		100.00		100.00
	Total	13127.69	3327.45	300.00	16755.14
	5% for Operational				
	area hindrance				
7	(Apron)				0.00
8	1% labour cess	0.00	33.27	0.00	33.27
	Total	13127.69	3360.72	300.00	16788.41
9	Contigency @ 3%	393.83	100.82	9.00	503.65
	Total	13521.52	3461.55	309.00	17292.07
9	Esclation @ 6.5%	878.90	225.00	20.09	1123.98
	Total	14400.42	3686.55	329.09	18416.05
	Total cost	18086	.97		
	cost per Sqm (cost/area)*lacs	98835	.88		

4

		5
	request that it is pertinent to note that useful life of assets	
	of Airport Assets', we	
	with AERA Order No. 35/2017-18 the 'Useful Life	
	by AERA is in accordance	A Charles and a solution of Allport Asses.
	While we acknowledge that the depreciation rate applied	Depreciation rates used for the 2 nd & 3 rd Control period are in line with the rates as per AERA Order No. 35/2017-18 the 'Useful Life of Airport Assets.
6	Depreciation: (Refer 6.4 of the	
	the Third Control Period.	
	& Non-Aeronautical) before issuing the order for	
	building ratio (Aeronautical	
	assets including Terminal	
	conduct an independent study on the allocation of	
	would request AERA to	
	In view of the above, we	*
	TBLR of 90:10.	
	Building, leading towards	Hence, we request AERA to consider TBLR 92.5% as approved in tariff order by AERA
	apportioning the common assets within the Terminal	
	Pune Airport) while	Aero Activities in 2^{nd} control period order.
	similar airports (for e.g.	AERA had approved Non Aero ratio 7.5% as against the 7.41% actual utilization for N
	approved 10% in case for	substantial change in the terminal building composition during TCP may not be justifi
	However, AERA has	The ratios have been computed based on the actual space in the terminals. Hence, A submits that assuming a different ratio based on international benchmarks when there is
	IMG norms.	The ratios have been computed based on the estual errors in the terminals. How a
	8% - 12% as per IATA and	for commercial activity inside the Terminal Building.
	is in line with the optimum Non- aeronautical ratio of	terminal Building is already saturated and there is not enough space providing more sp
	8%, and mentions that this	is 7.65 MPPA however the Airport handled 8.35 MP during FY2019-20 (Pre-covid). As
	Terminal Building ratio of	(Table Top), there is shortage of land. The Terminal Building passenger handling capacity
5	AERA has proposed a	Although Goa airport is currently the 9 th busiest airport in India and being Civil Encl.
5	with the AERA Act, 2008. Terminal Building Ratio: (R	efer 6.2.2 of the CP)
	Control Period in accordance	
	expenditure in the Third	
	for efficient capital	
	Further, we request AERA to conduct an independent study	
	as per the Order No.07/2016-17.	
	normative norms/approach as per the Order	
	compliance with the	
	works are being done in	
	Terminal building & related	·
	2022, we request AERA to ensure that the extension of	
	conducted on 15 th February	
	the Stakeholder meeting	their views.
	Moreover, as discussed in	Regarding an independent study for efficient capital expenditure, AERA may like to o
	ALKA.	
	should be considered by the AERA.	

	at various international									
	airports like London									
	Heathrow, Sydney airport									
	and Amsterdam airport									
	indicated that terminal									
	buildings have useful life of									
	as long as sixty (60) years									
	and aprons have it for as									
	long as ninety-nine (99)									
	years. We submit that the									
	useful life of terminal									
	building for Kannur and									
	Cochin airports have been									
	considered sixty (60) years									
	by AERA. AERA should									
	prescribe sixty (60) years									
	for the 'Building' including									
	'Terminal Building as' is									
	practiced by some of the									
	developed aviation									
	ecosystem.				_		_			
7	Fair Rate of Return (FRoR):									
	AERA has considered a	AAI had appo	inted M/s	KPM	(G to	carry o	out a stu	idy of	n Cos	at of Equity during 2011
	FRoR of 12.91%, which is	results of whic	h are give	n belc	w:	5				
	net of income tax return to									241
	the airport operator, for the	Table 3: Beta of com	parable airports	100	1	Debt (is	MkI Cop		1	
	Third Control Period.	Airport / Grosp	Country	Equity Beta	Tax Rate	Billion	(in Billion local	Debi /Mcap	Asset	
	However, while such fixed/	Airports of Thailand	-			CUTTERCY)	enrrency)		Deca	
	assured return favours the	PCL	Thailand	1.14	30%	56.2	54.3	1.03	0.66	
	airport operator, it creates an	Beijing Capital International Airport	China	1.03	25%	18.5	14.8	1,25	0.53	
	imbalance against the	Guangzhou Balyun International Airpon	China	0.91	25%	0.0	8.3	0.00	0.91	
	airlines, which are already	Shanghai Internation Airport		1.04	25%	2.5	22.0	0.11	0.96	
1	suffering from huge losses	Xiamen Internationa	d China	0.95	25%	0.9	4.1	0.00	0.95	
	and bear the adverse	Airport Grupo Aeroportuario		1		Testa In				
	financial impact through	del Sureste SAB de (Group of 9 airports		0.94	3014	0.6	21.0	0.03	0.92	
	higher tariffs.	Mexico) Grupo Acapportuario			Charles .	1.05	1000			
	linguer tarms.	del Pacifico SAB de CV	Mexico	0.84	30%	1.0	27.2	0.04	0.82	
	Durthan due to such	Grupo Aeroportuario		-	Constant of					
	Further, due to such	Centro Norte, S.A. d C.V.	e Mexico	0,99	30%	1.0	9.2	0.10	0.92	
1	fixed/assured returns,	The median	value of asset b	ets for the	above o	onparable s	ct is 0.92 wh	ich is boi	ng used	
	service providers like Goa	as the asset b	eta for sirport o gearing of AAI	perations	business	of AAI. Thi	s needs to be	re-levere	d as per	
	Airport have no incentive to		and a subble best		ator perie	IC IC COLLEGE	e uio equity t		<i>M</i> 1,	
	look for productivity		ble 4: Equity Beau stimated asset bet		-		0.92			
	improvement or ways of		caring for AAI		-		8.84%			
	increasing efficiencies and		ax rate for AAI quity bets for AA	1			32.45%			
	take steps to reduce costs as		or AAI works of	t to 0.98						
		Equity beta f								
	they are fully covered for all	Equity beta t					anan Ma	. 16/2	2012-1	13.
	they are fully covered for all costs plus their returns.		please re	efer Co	onsult	ation P	aper no			
		For full Report							onsult	ation that the CoF was 16
	costs plus their returns. Such a scenario breeds	For full Report Based on the al	pove repor	rt, AA	I subi	mitted o	luring S	CP co		
	costs plus their returns. Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher	For full Report Based on the al AERA in the S	pove repor	rt, AA	I subi	mitted o	luring S	CP co		
	costs plus their returns. Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher costs, which are ultimately	For full Report Based on the al	pove repor	rt, AA	I subi	mitted o	luring S	CP co		
	costs plus their returns. Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher	For full Report Based on the al AERA in the S	pove repor	rt, AA	I subi	mitted o	luring S	CP co		
	costs plus their returns. Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher costs, which are ultimately borne by airlines.	For full Report Based on the al AERA in the S 14%.	oove repor CP order l	rt, AA had al	I subi so coi	mitted on sidere	luring S d CoE c	CP co of 16%	ó and	FRoR was determined to
	costs plus their returns. Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher costs, which are ultimately borne by airlines. In view of above, in the	For full Report Based on the al AERA in the S 14%. The Equity est	oove repor CP order l	rt, AA had al	I subi so coi	mitted on sidere	luring S d CoE c	CP co of 16%	ó and	FRoR was determined to
	costs plus their returns. Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher costs, which are ultimately borne by airlines. In view of above, in the present scenario any assured	For full Report Based on the al AERA in the S 14%. The Equity est employed.	CP order l	rt, AA had al can al:	I subi so coi so yie	mitted o nsidere eld a ra	during S d CoE c ange of	CP co of 16% value	6 and es dep	FRoR was determined to
	costs plus their returns.Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher costs, which are ultimately borne by airlines.In view of above, in the present scenario any assured return on investment to any	For full Report Based on the al AERA in the S 14%. The Equity est employed. Cost of Equity	CP order I CP order I limation c depends o	rt, AA had al can al: on owr	I subi so con so yie nershi	mitted onsidered eld a ra	during S d CoE c ange of aure, Co	CP co of 16% value mpara	6 and es dep able A	FRoR was determined to bending on the assumption
	 costs plus their returns. Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher costs, which are ultimately borne by airlines. In view of above, in the present scenario any assured return on investment to any services providers like Goa 	For full Report Based on the al AERA in the S 14%. The Equity est employed. Cost of Equity Asset Beta play	CP order I CP order I limation c depends o ys an imp	rt, AA had al can al: on own portant	I subi so con so yie nershi : role	mitted onsidered eld a ra p struct in dete	during S d CoE c ange of cure, Co rrminati	CP co of 16% value mpara on of	ó and es dep able A ⊡Equi	FRoR was determined to bending on the assumption Airports & Revenue Till ty Beta even if Debt/Equ
	costs plus their returns.Such a scenario breeds inefficiencies and higher costs, which are ultimately borne by airlines.In view of above, in the present scenario any assured return on investment to any	For full Report Based on the al AERA in the S 14%. The Equity est employed. Cost of Equity Asset Beta play	CP order I CP order I limation c depends o ys an imp	rt, AA had al can al: on own portant	I subi so con so yie nershi : role	mitted onsidered eld a ra p struct in dete	during S d CoE c ange of cure, Co rrminati	CP co of 16% value mpara on of	ó and es dep able A ⊡Equi	ation that the CoE was 16 FRoR was determined to bending on the assumption arrorts & Revenue Till ty Beta even if Debt/Equiset Beta and Equity Beta.

•

	past orders), i.e., being at	In 1st Control Period, AAI had submitted a study conducted by M/s KPMG in regards to
	par with bank fixed deposits	calculation of Cost of Equity wherein, Estimated Asset Beta was 0.92 and corresponding
	(i.e., return on investment	Equity Beta works out to 0.98. The cost of Equity submitted by AAI works out to 16.82%
	after the income tax), will	Equity Beta works out to 0.56. The cost of Equity submitted by first works out to 10.0276
	be onerous for the airlines.	If AEDA considers the debt equity ratio as 49.52 as ideal ratio and provides Accet Data
		If AERA considers the debt equity ratio as 48:52 as ideal ratio and provides Asset Beta @ 0.92 then the FRoR will increase to more than 16%.
	Without prejudice to the	
	above, in case the AERA is	In MIAL, DIAL, HIAL and CIAL the Cost of Equity has been considered @ 15+%. Thus
	unable to accept our	AERA is requested to consider the Cost of Equity @ 16% as submitted by AAI.
	recommendation mentioned	
	above, the AERA is	AAI had requested AERA to consider the FRoR as submitted instead of an Average FRoR
	requested to conduct an	@ 12.91% for TCP.
	independent study for	
	determination of FRoR to	A Al honor submits that not having a lower and financing structure has already been factored
	be provided to Goa Airport.	AAI hence submits that not having a leveraged financing structure has already been factored
		by AAI by considering an FRoR of 14%. Further, suggesting that a return equal to interest
	Such independent study can	rate of bank to be applied does not factor in the risks associated with the aviation business
	be exercised by the powers	and more specifically the uncertainties in this current pandemic scenario.
	conferred under the AERA	
	Act and in line with studies	
	being conducted by AERA	
	in case of certain major	
	airport operators.	
8	Non-Aeronautical Revenue:	(Refer 9.2.1 & 9.2.2 of the CP)
	We observe that the non-	Generally, increase in traffic is not proportionate with increase in NAR.
	aeronautical revenues of	It is to mention that in view of Covid-19 pandemic, AAI had provided Concessionaire
	Goa considered by AERA	Support Scheme (CSS) to various contractors linked to the passenger traffic till 31/12/2021.
	are low / conservative. It is	Under the CSS, license/concession fee was charged based on actual passenger traffic during
	requested that Goa Airport	
	explores all avenues to	a particular month. In addition, additional discount was also given due to adverse impact
		on buying behaviour of the passenger.
	maximise revenue from the	In view of the above, revenue growth after 31/12/2021 is not to be linked with passenger
	utilisation from the	traffic growth. Most of the commercial contracts have been awarded by AAI on fixed
	expansion of terminal	license fee basis having annual escalation provision of 10%. Therefore, AA1 projections are
	building for non-	based on the consistent revenue increment trend during pre- Covid years
	aeronautical purposes. As	Hence, projections from FY 2022-23 onwards should be delinked with total traffic numbers
	mentioned in para 9.2.1, the	and AAI circulars 24 & 26. Further, in the revenue projection for FY 2021-22, additional
	non-aeronautical revenue	discount provided under CSS in license fee due to adverse impact on passenger buying
	for Third Control Period is	behaviour has also to be factored in.
	lower than the Second	
	Control Period due to	
	cessation of ccrtain	The main research for laws not concerning in the second
	concessionaire agreements	The main reasons for lower non-aeronautical revenue for Third Control Period to the second
	in FY20-21. Accordingly,	control period are as under:
	we request AERA to direct	
	AAl to enter into suitable	Restaurants and Snack bar: The revenue projected in this category was ₹ 25.90 Crores,
		whereas the actual revenue is ₹ 52.85 Crores (which is higher than the projections). The
	0	revenue for the year 2019-20 was higher than the other years (at ₹ 35.65 Crores) due to
	concessionaires to exploit	award of Master concession for Food & Beverage (F&B) outlets to the party M/s Travel
	the potential/ growth of	
	non-aeronautical revenue.	Food Services Private Limited at ₹ 3.88 Crores per month. This agreement was in place
		only for FY 2018-19 and 2019- 20 and after which it was foreclosed in November 2020,
	In this regard we also	due to COV1D-19 pandemic. This had resulted in decrease in the revenues for the FY 2020-
	request AERA to kindly	21.
	undertake detailed	
(L	examination with the	T D Otalles The second engineers 11 of the second engineers 7 16 00 C
	assistance of an	T.R Stalls: The revenue projected in this category was ₹ 16.00 Crores, whereas the actual
	independent study to be	revenue is ₹ 122.10 Crores (which is higher than the projections). The revenue for FY 2018-
		19 was higher than the other years (at < 52.42 Crores) due to award of Master concession
	conducted on the non-	19 was higher than the other years (at ₹ 52.42 Crores) due to award of Master concession for Individual Retail outlets to the party M/s FLFL Travel Retail West Private Limited at ₹
		for Individual Retail outlets to the party M/s FLFL Travel Retail West Private Limited at ₹

the Third Control Period.

Without prejudice to the above, our submission is that increase in nonaeronautical revenue is a function of passenger traffic inflationary growth, increase, and real increase/escalations in contract rates. AERA is requested to ensure no adjustments are proposed to non-aeronautical revenue which is not dependent on traffic but are derived from agreements with concessionaires.

a. Royalty:

Any attempt to award the contracts by the airport operator on the highest revenue share basis should be discouraged as it breeds inefficiencies and tends to disproportionately increase the cost.

It is general perception service providers have no incentive to reduce its expenses as any such increase will be passed on to the airlines through tariff determination mechanism process and indirectly airlines will be forced to bear these additional costs. There needs to be a mechanism for incentivizing the parties for increasing efficiencies and cost savings and not for increasing the royalty for the airport operator.

As you are aware, royalty is in the nature of market access fee, charged (by any name or description) by the Airport operator under various headings without any underlying services. These charges are passed on to the airlines by the airport operator or other services 2.92 Crores per month. The above revenue was not forecasted by AAI, while submitting their MYTP for the Second Control Period to AERA and hence there is significant increase in the actual revenue as compared to that approved in the Tariff Order for the Second Control Period. This agreement was in place only for the FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20 and after which it was foreclosed in July 2020, due to COVID-19 pandemic. This had resulted in decrease in the revenues for the FY 2020-21.

Royalty: AAI has initiated tender as per the terms and conditions, period of license etc., mentioned in line with the AAI commercial manual. Commercial Department is collecting 13% of GTO from Inflight Caterers for doing business at Airport. Collection of 13% royalty from Inflight catering service provider is prevailing in all the AAI managed Airports as per the internal policy.

AAI is incurring huge expenditure on providing the infrastructure to facilitate the Ground Handling Agencies for providing their services to the airlines. Further, the royalty on Ground handling charges (Revenue Share) payable by Ground Handling Agency has been brought down to 3% of Actual Gross Revenue from Scheduled Domestic Passenger Flights and 15% of Actual Gross Revenue from users other than Scheduled Domestic Passenger Flights and RCS flights. This is effective from 1st October 2021.

Hence AERA is requested not to link Passenger growth with Non Aeronautical Revenue.

	providers.	
	The rates of royalty at Goa	
	airport are as high as up to	
	13-15% for some services.	
	It may be pertinent to note	
	that market access fee by	
	any name or description is	
	not practiced in most of the	
	global economies, including	
	European Union, Australia	
	etc. Sometimes it is argued	
	by the airport operators that	
	'Royalty' on 'Aero	
	Revenues' helps in	
	subsidizing the aero charges	
	for the airlines, however	
	royalty in 'Non-Aero	
	Revenues' hits the airlines	
	directly without any benefit.	
	anoony manout any benefit.	
	In view of the above, we	
	urge AERA to abolish such	
	royalty which may be	
	included in any of the cost	
	items.	
0		R.M.) Expanses for Third Control David. (Defor 10.2.15 and Table 16 of the CD)
9		& M) Expenses for Third Control Period: (Refer 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP)
9	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual
9	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP,	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
9	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual
9	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
9	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
•	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
9	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time.	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
•	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
)	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2,	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
)	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
)	As per Para 4.2.2 (<i>decision</i> 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
)	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
)	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
)	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
9	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period.	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period.	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to:	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to: (a) Put on hold any	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	 As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to: (a) Put on hold any increase in operational 	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	 As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to: (a) Put on hold any increase in operational expenditure by Goa 	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	 As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to: (a) Put on hold any increase in operational expenditure by Goa Airport; 	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	 As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to: (a) Put on hold any increase in operational expenditure by Goa Airport; (b) Advise Goa Airport to 	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	 As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to: (a) Put on hold any increase in operational expenditure by Goa Airport; (b) Advise Goa Airport to review its spending on 	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
•	 As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to: (a) Put on hold any increase in operational expenditure by Goa Airport; (b) Advise Goa Airport to review its spenditure 	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract
	 As per Para 4.2.2 (decision 11b) & Table 15 of the CP, AERA has itself observed that it expected Goa Airport to reduce O&M expenditure over a period of time. However, as can be observed from Para 9.2.2, despite the directions of AERA, no such reduction in O&M expenses have taken place and on the contrary, as per para 10.2.15 and Table 46 of the CP, a further increase is proposed O&M expenditure for Third Control Period. In view of the above, we request AERA to: (a) Put on hold any increase in operational expenditure by Goa Airport; (b) Advise Goa Airport to review its spending on 	The costs captured by the airports in their respective trial balances are based on the actual spend. To determine the costs, there are detailed tendering mechanisms for every contract

costs in a significant manner and address any increase in fees sought by Goa Airport. It may be noted that across industries, various of instead cost escalations, all the costs have been renegotiated downwards substantially. Accordingly, Goa

- Airport needs to significantly reduce all such costs.by at least 35% and further no escalation should be permitted; and
- (c) In view of the above, Goa Airport should be directed to pass on cost benefits to the airlines.
- (d) In particular, we submit that:
- 1. Instead of a significant reduction in cost items of operating expenses, AERA has proposed a Y-0-Y percentage increase of around 6% on Payroll and Repair & Maintenance, and 5-10% in Administration and General Expenses. Such an increase in the name of escalation, in a highly uncertain environment, where airlines are operating under curtailed operations, appears to be without any rationale and should be avoided.

Pay Roll :-7% is the average increase in the payroll due to annual increment of 3% in salary, increase in HRA, and quarterly increase in DA and Employer contribution to PF. The figures for the F.Y. 2020-21 are actual as submitted by on 24.12.2021 in r/o Pune Airport. (for Illustration)

The following illustration clearly shows that there is an average 7% increase in the Payroll expenditure.

			701	ge ter	1115)			(In Rs.)
Parti cular s	Pe r Mo nt h	Sal ary Ye ar 1	Q 1	Q 2	Q 3	Q 4	Sal ary Ye ar 2	Yearl y Incre ment al
	10		30	30	30	30		
Basic	00	120	90	90	90	90	123	
Pay	0	000	0	0	0	0	600	3600
	18	216	67	77	83	86	315	
DA	00	00	98	25	43	52	18	9918
	27	324	83	83	83	83	333	
HRA	00	00	43	43	43	43	72	972
			10	10	10	10		
PER	35	420	81	81	81	81	432	
KS	00	00	5	5	5	5	- 60	1260
	12	144	37	37	37	37	148	
EPF	00	00	08	08	08	08	32	432
	19		60	61	62	62		
	20	230	56	49	10	41	246	
Total	0	400	4	1	9	8	582	16182

Parti						
cular		%	age li	icreas	se	
	18		22	25	27	28
DA	%		%	%	%	%
	27		27	27	27	27
HRA	%		%	%	%	%
	35		35	35	35	35
Perks	%		%	%	%	%
	12		12	12	12	12
EPF	%		%	%	%	%

Total Incre	
ase	16
(in	18
Rs.)	2
%	
incre	7.0
ase	2

Year 1 Means Previous Year

Year 2 Means Current Year Basic Pay – 3% yearly increase considered. Dearness Allowance- Quarterly increase considered. HRA, Perks & EPF – Considered Constant

In the abovementioned example, the Salary expenditure for Year 1 shown as Rs. 230400/per employee. Whereas, in the year 2 the salary expenditure is shown as Rs. 246582/- per employee. On the basis of above assumptions, the incremental expenditure on the head of salary is Rs. 16182/- per employee which comes out to 7.02% on Year on Year basis. AAI requests AERA to consider 7% increase on Year on Year basis for the Third Control Period to maintain consistency and also allow 10% additional increase in FY2023-24 as the expansion of Terminal Building will be completed by March 2023 and additional employees will be required for smooth functioning of operation. The work of Expansion of Existing Terminal Building is delayed basically due to delay in issue of Environmental Clearance by MoEF, COVID pandemic and extended rain. At present the work is in full swing and presently progress is 17% and will be completed as scheduled Date of Completion i.e.31.03.2023.

R&M Electrical: -

R&M Electrical & Electronics includes Manpower (Operators) and to be payable as per the minimum wage of the state Govt and additional manpower will be required for the equipment installed at expansion area.

Some Expenses are of Revenue Nature to be charged off as per the Accounting policy e.g. Renovation of Toilet & Floor tiles etc.

AERA is requested to consider 10% increase year on year instead of 6% and also allow additional increase of 10% in FY2023-24 due to operationalization of expanded area of TB.

Upkeep Expenses:-

Projection for the 2nd control period was done based on existing contract @ Rs.7.15 lacs pm for 36 months award in FY2014.

Further, New contract was awarded on March 2017 @ Rs.30.88 lacs pm (cost increase due to cost inflation and new area included-Admn Block, paved area city side and airside).

Recently the New contract has been awarded on Sept 2020 @ Rs.41.47lacs pm for 36 months.

The upkeep expenses are merely not linked with the area of building but there are other factors like cleaning with modern and high technology equipment, wages of the labor, escalations in the prices of the materials, cleaning of Transparent Glass Facades, Ceilings, Covid-19 protocols etc. The minimum wages of labor play an important role in deciding the upkeep expenses at airport.

The Upkeep expenses cost are arrived by the approved tendering process and are market driven and may not with the approved fixed percentage (%) as considered by AERA.

Therefore, AAI requests AERA to allow the expenditure as submitted by AAI instead of restricting it to inflation of 4.9% from F.Y. 2021-22 and thereafter and also requests to consider revised PDC of Expansion of Existing Terminal Building (March2023) and allow propionate increase in Upkeep Exp as proposed by AAI.

<u>3.CSR</u>: In accordance with the requirements of the DPE guidelines on CSR and Sustainability and Section 135 of the Companies Act, 2013, two percent of the average net profits (to be calculated in accordance with the provisions of the Act) during the three immediately preceding financial years will be allocated for CSR activities every year.

AAI has constituted a CSR Committee. The composition and terms of reference of the CSR Committee is provided in the Report on Corporate Governance, which forms part of this Annual Report. AAI has also formulated a Corporate Social Responsibility Policy, which is available on AAI's website.

2 Upkeep and Utility expenses: Although the activity level has gone down drastically, rather than significant reduction in the cost, the Upkeep Expenses as proposed by AERA are to increase Y-O- Y each year by 4.9% other than 2025-26, for which it will be an exceptional 35%. This exceptional increase should be avoided.

		The overview of various CSR projects and programme undertaken by AAI and detail amount spent on CSR across India in different projects are there in the Annual Report at AAI website.
		CSR Budget will be utilized for implementing CSR projects approved by the Board on an annual basis. The budget allocation to the CSR Schemes shall be made in the beginning of every financial year after considering the CSR budget for that year. AAI is committed to play a larger role in India's sustainable development by embedding wider economic, social and environmental objectives.
		CSR provisions are made on the basis of 2% of Average profits of preceding 3 financial years at Corporate Headquarter Level.
·	3. Expenses on account of CSR as given in Para 10.2.14 and Table 45 of the CP may be excluded. This will be in line with the similar treatment of CSR expenditure given to	In view of above, like income tax reimbursement it is requested to reimburse an amount of Rs. 498 lakhs for Goa Airport during 2 nd control period on account of CSR Expenditure.
	CIAL at Cochin International Airport.	AERA is requested to consider the submission made by AAI regarding Opex.
	Accordingly, we submit that while the aviation sector, including airlines have incurred huge losses and are struggling to meet their operational costs, Goa Airport on the other hand seems to have paid/will pay incremental salaries which may not appear prudent considering the significant losses incurred by the aviation sector. It appears that Goa Airport wants to recover its full employee cost from the airlines, which are facing significant challenges to meet its operating expenses.	
	We submit that there should not be any increase in manpower till the existing manpower is effectively utilised as it will take another two (2) - three (3) years to recover. Existing manpower can be reviewed and any additional costs due to contract manpower or otherwise should be reduced, particularly in view of the new MoPA	
	airport coming up soon.	

	hout prejudice to the	
abov	ve, Goa Airport needs to	
	siderably restructure its	
	loyee benefit expenses	
	other expenses and hold	
	revisions at least for the	
	two (2) years to three (3)	
years		
		nination (Application of Hybrid Till Vs Single Till):
0.2	submit that in Para 3.1.2	As per National Civil Aviation Policy 2016 [Page17 para-c] "to ensure uniformity and level
	he Consultation Paper, it stated that the AERA	playing field across various operators, future tariffs at all airports will be calculated on a 'hybrid till' basis, unless otherwise specified for any project being bid out in future. 30%
	stated that the AERA	of non-aeronautical revenue will be used to cross-subsidise aeronautical charges. In case
1.17-4	ing the 30% Hybrid Till	of non-aeronautical revenue will be used to cross-subsidise aeronautical charges. In case the tariff in one particular year or contractual period turns out to be excessive, the airport
	del including for true ups	operator and regulator will explore ways to keep the tariff reasonable, and spread the
	pplicable.	excess amount over the future".
	spirouere.	AAI has prepared MYTP on Hybrid Till Basis and AERA has considered it.
It is	to be noted that we have	
from	n time to time,	AERA may also offer its views.
	ocated the application of	
	ingle Till model across	
	airports in India. We	
	mit that AERA should	
	pt Single Till basis	
	oss all Control Periods, uding by way of true up,	
	view of the following	
	I framework:	
	Thanlowerk.	
In t	the Single Till Order,	
AER	RA has strongly made a	
case	e in favour of the	
	ermination of tariff on	
the b	basis of 'Single Till'.	
14 1	that the	
	s noteworthy that the RA has, inter alia, in its	1
	gle Till Order:	1
00		1
i.	Comprehensively	1
	evaluated the	1
	economic	1
ii.	model and realities	1
	of the airport – both	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	capital and revenue	1
iii.	elements Considered the	1
111.		1
	legislative intent behind Section	
	13(1)(a)(v) of the	
	AERA Act.	
iv.	Concluded that the	
	'Single Till' is the	1
	most appropriate for	1
	the economic	I
	regulation of major	I
	airports in India.	

 v. The oriteria for determining tariff after considering standards followed by several international airports (United Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and that can be assured only by
after considering standards followed by several international airports airports (United Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the the procedure for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passed romore footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
after considering standards followed by several international airports airports (United Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the the procedure for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passed romore footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
standards followed by several international airports (United Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher mon-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
by several international airports airports (United Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the the procedure for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
international airports (United Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
airports (United Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
Kingdom, Australia, Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
Ireland and South Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
Africa) and prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single gproach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
prescribed by ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and to
ICAO. Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
Further, AERA in its AERA Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
Guidelines (Clause 4.3) has followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
followed the 'Single Till' approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue.
approach while laying down the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
the procedure for determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
determination of ARR for Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
Regulated Services. The fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
fundamental reasoning behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
behind 'Single Till' approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
approach is that if the consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
consumers/passengers are offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
offered cheaper airfares on account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
account of lower airport charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
charges, the volume of passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
passengers is bound to increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
increase leading to more footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
footfall and probability of higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
higher non-aeronautical revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
revenue. The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
The benefit of such non aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
aeronautical revenue should be passed on to consumers/passengers and
be passed on to consumers/passengers and
consumers/passengers and
that can be assured only by
way of lower aeronautical
charges. It is a productive
chain reaction which needs
to be considered by the
AERA.
11 Tariff: (Refer 18.2, Table 63, 64 & 65, Annexure II of the CP)
1. Overall Tariff: Overall Tariff:
AERA has proposed increase The financial condition of AAI during the Covid-19 Pandemic has deteriorated to a great
in the Parking Charges of extent. AAI has incurred a loss of Rs. 1962 Crores (approx.) in F.Y. 2020-21. AAI has
around 15% on Year 2 of the resorted to borrowing from market to finance its capital as well as opex. Although it is
Third Control Period (and expected that the Aviation sector is likely to bounce back to Pre-Covid level by F.Y. 2023-
going up to a cumulative of 24, yet for AAI's current survival, it is required to improve cash flows. Under the present
approximate 33% by end of situation there is no hope to augment Non-aeronautical revenue.
Year 5); and has proposed
increase in the UDF of
around 16% on Domestic
Passengers (and 8% on
International Passengers) on
Year 2 of the Third Control
14

,

Period (and going up to a cumulative 41% and 20% Domestic Passengers and International Passengers respectively by end of Year 5).

Without prejudice to the above, it is in the interest of all the stakeholders that the proposed tariffs be reduced in order to encourage middle class people to travel by air, which will help in sharp post-COVID-19 recovery of aviation sector.

AERA is requested to review the suggestions/comments on the regulatory building blocks as mentioned in this Annex – B, which is likely to reduce the ARR of Goa Airport. This will further ensure the lowering of tariff including UDF, which will be beneficial to passengers and airlines.

2. Collection Charges:

We would like to invite AERA's attention to Para 18.2.2 of Annexure II of the Consultation Paper that the rate of collection of UDF charges has not been clarified. We understand that such rate of Collection Charges being clarified in other Consultation Papers is Rs. 5 (Rupees five only) per departing passenger. We request that the same is clarified in the Tariff Order for Goa Airport.

We further request that the Collection Charges the entitlement for airlines, should be against Goa Airport having received the 'undisputed' invoiced UDF amount with the applicable due date.

2. Collection Charges:

Policy referred to with respect to collection charges: Rs.5/- plus GST per passenger will be paid by AAI to the Airline Operators if they make the payment within 15 days from the receipt of the invoice. No collection charges shall be paid in case the airline fails to pay the UDF invoice to AAI within the credit period of 15 days or in case of any part payment. This is as per the Credit Policy of AAI which has been provided in the tariff card by AERA.

Response to Counter Comments of GMR Goa International Airport Ltd for Consultation Paper No.29/2021-22 dt:27 Jan'22 on determination of Aeronautical Tariff for Goa International Airport, Goa for the Third Control Period (01.04.2021 – 31.03.2026) and the Tariff Card.

Comments of GMR Goa Intl Apt	AAI's Re	oly					
GMR Goa International Airport Ltd has stated as MoPA Airport will be soon coming into operation and hence the Goa Air travel requirement is going to be served by two airports. According, it is paramount to assess the air traffic in Goa as a whole. It is observed that in the above referred consultation	While projecting Traffic Forecast, AAI had proposed to reduce to passenger traffic and ATM estimates for FY 2022-23 by arou 20%, due to operationalization of Greenfield Airport at MoPA, Ge The details are as under						
paper AAI has not provided the system traffic for	As per AAI				As per AERA		
Goa.	F.Y	Dom.	Intl.	Total	Dom.	Intl.	Total
	2019-20	7.65	0.71	8.36	7.65	0.71	8.36
In this regard we have appointed an expert CRISIL	2020-21	2.85	0.04	2.89	2.85	0.04	2.89
to estimate Goa traffic as a whole. CRISIL has	2021-22	5.13	0.06	5.19	4.85	0.06	4.91
considered historical traffic trend, current constraint	2022-23	5.39	0.08	5.47	6.20	0.15	6.35
due to non-flying hours and Goa market potential.	2023-24	6.74	0.15	6 <u>.</u> 89	7.75	0.27	8.02
Accordingly, CRISIL has estimated following Goa	2024-25	7.75	0.27	8.02	8.87	0.49	9.36
system traffic for the period considered by Dabolim	2025-26	8.67	0.46	9.14	10.15	0.66	10.81
Airport:	The Author factored it in						

			(In Mn)
F.Y	Dom.	Intl.	Total
2022-23	3.76	0.43	4.19
2023-24	10.74	0.54	13.82
2024-25	12.19	0.99	13.18
2025-26	13.82	1.04	14.86
2026-27	15.68	1.09	16.77

We request Authority to kindly take a note of Goa system traffic estimates while allocation of traffic between Dabolim and MoPA Airport.

1