

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

W.P.(C) 4338/2014 and CM 8692/2014

BANGALORE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

LIMITED Petitioner

Through Ms Pallavi Langar, Advocate.

versus

MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION AND ORS Respondents

Through Ms Poonam Verma, Mr Gaurav

Saini and Mr Shantanu Singh,

Advocates for R2.

Mr Atul Nanda, Sr. Advocate with

Mr Rameeza Hakeem, Mr Priyadarshi Gopal, Advocates for R3 (AERA).

Mr Digvijay Raj, Advocate for R6

(AAD).

Ms Anjana Gosain, Advocate for

UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

O R D E R

23.12.2014

The petitioner impugns an order dated 01.07.2014 passed by the

Airport Economic Regulatory Authority Appellate Tribunal, New Delhi (hereafter the Tribunal) whereby the Tribunal had directed that status quo as on 10.06.2014 be maintained with respect to the tariff charges for Common User Terminal Equipment (CUTE); Common User Self Service (CUSS); and Baggage Reconciliation System (BRS), collectively referred to as Information Communication Technology Charges. The Airport Economic Regulatory Authority of India (AERA) had fixed the said charges at US\$ 1.25 per departing passenger. The said charges were impugned by respondent No.2 before the Tribunal.

The parties submit that the petitioner and respondent No.2 have now arrived at a settlement whereby it is agreed that the charges at the rate of US\$ 1.25 be scaled down to US\$ 1.0 for each departing passenger for CUSS, CUTE and BRS respectively.

In the circumstances the petition is disposed of with a direction that AERA shall consider the aforesaid settlement and pass an appropriate order within a period of two weeks from today. It is clarified that the impugned order dated 01.07.2014 shall not come in the way of AERA in fixing the charges as agreed between the parties.

Dasti under the signature of Court Master.

The Registry is directed to send the records back to the Tribunal.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

DECEMBER 23, 2014

pkv