APPEAL NO. 2 OF 2010

[Under Section 18(2) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 against the order dated 20.05.2010 passed by the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India)

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Rahul Sarin Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi Member

In the matter of:

In the matter of:

Mumbai International Airport Private Limited (MIAL)

... Appellant

Versus

AERA & Ors. ... Respondents

Appearances: Shri Sitesh Mukherjee, Ms. Sakya Singha Chaudhuri

Ms. Avijeet Lala, Advocate for the Appellant.

Shri Atul Nanda, Senior Advocate with Ms. Rameeza Hakeem and Ms. Sugandha, Advocates for Respondents

Nos. 1 and 2.

Shri Amit Kapur with Ms. Poonam Verma, Advocates

for Respondent No. 3.

ORDER16th March, 2011

At the request of the learned counsel for the parties, the appeal is adjourned to 11th May, 2011.

[Dr. Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

APPEAL NO. 3 OF 2010

[Under Section 18(2) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 against the order dated 20.05.2010 passed by the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India)

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Rahul Sarin Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi Member

In the matter of:

Delhi International Airport Private Limited (DIAL)

... Appellant

Versus

AERA & Anr.

... Respondents

Appearances: Shri Gopal Jain with Shri Ankur Sood, Advocates for the

Appellant.

Shri Atul Nanda, Senior Advocate with Ms. Rameeza Hakeem and Ms. Sugandha, Advocates for Respondents

Nos. 1 and 2.

Shri Amit Kapur with Ms. Poonam Verma, Advocates for Respondent No. 3.

ORDER 16th March, 2011

At the request of the learned counsel for the parties, the appeal is adjourned to 11th May, 2011.

> [Dr. Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

APPEAL NO. 05 OF 2010

[Under Section 18(2) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 against the order dated 04.11.2010 passed by the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India)

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Rahul Sarin Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi Member

In the matter of:

Mumbai International Airport Private Limited (MIAL)

... Appellant

Versus

AERA & Ors.

... Respondents

Appearances:

Shri Rajiv Nayyar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Radhika Arora, Shri Sites Mukherjee, Ms. Sakya Singha Chaudhuri and Ms. Avijeet Lala, Advocates for the Appellant.

Shri Atul Nanda, Senior Advocate with Ms. Rameeza Hakeem and Ms. Sugandha, Advocates for Respondents Nos. 1

nd 2

and 2.

Shri Amit Kapur with Ms. Poonam Verma, Advocates for

Respondent No. 3.

Ms. Ashmi Mohan, Advocate for Respondents Nos. 7 to 9.

ORDER16th March, 2011

Reply by the respondents, if any, shall be filed within three weeks. Rejoinder, if any, shall be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List the matter on 11th May, 2011.

[Dr. Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

APPEAL NO. 01 OF 2011

[Under Section 18 of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 against the Order No. 13/2010-11 dated 12.01.2011 passed by the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India)

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Rahul Sarin Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi Member

In the matter of:

Mumbai International Airport Private Limited (MIAL)

... Appellant

Versus

AERA & Ors.

... Respondents

<u>Appearances</u>: Shri Mukul Rohatgi, Senior Advocate with Shri Sitesh Mukherjee, Ms. Sakya Singha Chaudhuri and Mr. Avijeet Lala, Advocates for the Appellant.

Shri Naresh Kaushik with Ms. Amita Kalkal Chaudhary and Shri Alok Kaushik, Advocates for Respondent No. 1.

ORDER16th March, 2011

Learned senior counsel for the appellant was heard at length. Admittedly, the final determination is yet to be done. The basic grievance of the appellant is that, while formulating the Regulatory Philosophy and Approach in Economic Regulation of Airport Operators, the scope of exclusion of certain concessions have not been kept in view, which, according to him, is required to be done in terms of Section 13(1)(a)(vi) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 (in short 'the Act').

-2-

Since the final determination is yet to be done, as spelt out in Paragraph 17.5.13 of the Regulatory Philosophy and Approach in Economic Regulation of Airports Operators, in case the appellant has any further grievance, when it is done, it can be challenged, if available under Section 17(b) and Section 18(2) of

the Act.

Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of.

[Dr. Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin]

Member

APPEAL NO. 2 OF 2011

[Under Section 18(2) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 against the Order No. 13/2010-11 dated 12.01.2011 passed by the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India)

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Rahul Sarin Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi Member

In the matter of:

Bangalore International Airport Limited (BIAL)

... Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

Appearances: Shri A.M. Singhvi, Senior Advocate with Shri Ankur Chawla, Shri Ashish Jha and Ms. Pallavi Langar, Advocates for the Appellant.

ORDER 16th March, 2011

List the matter on 4th May, 2011.

[Dr. Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

APPEAL NO. 3 OF 2011

[Under Section 18(2) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 against the order No. 13/2010-11dated12.01.2011 passed by the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India)

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Rahul Sarin Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi Member

In the matter of:

Cochin International Airport Limited (CIAL)

... Appellant

Versus

AERA ... Respondent

<u>Appearances</u>: Shri A.M. Singhvi, Senior Advocate with Shri Ankur Chawla, Shri Ashish Jha and Ms. Pallavi Langar, Advocates for the Appellant.

ORDER 16th March, 2011

List the matter on 4th May, 2011.

[Dr. Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

APPEAL NO. 4 OF 2011

[Under Section 18(2) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 against the order No. 13/2010-11dated12.01.2011 passed by the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India)

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Rahul Sarin Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi Member

In the matter of:

GMR Hyderabad Airport Ltd. (HIAL)

... Appellant

Versus

AERA & Anr. ... Respondents

<u>Appearances</u>: Shri T.R. Andhiyarujina, Senior Advocate with Mr. Kartik Nayyar, Advocate for the Appellant.

ORDER16th March, 2011

List the matter on 4th May, 2011.

[Dr. Arijit Pasayat] Chairman

[Rahul Sarin] Member

APPEAL NO. 5 OF 2011

[Under Section 18(2) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 against the order No. 13/2010-11 dated 12.01.2011 passed by the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India)

CORAM

Hon'ble Dr. Justice Arijit Pasayat Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Rahul Sarin Member

Hon'ble Ms. Pravin Tripathi Member

In the matter of:

Delhi International Airport Private Limited (DIAL)

... Appellant

Versus

AERA & Anr.

... Respondents

<u>Appearances</u>: Shri Mukul Rohatgi, Senior Advocate with Shri Sitesh

Mukherjee, Ms. Sakya Singha Chaudhuri and Mr.

Avijeet Lala, Advocates for the Appellant.

Shri Naresh Kaushik with Ms. Amita Kalkal Chaudhary and Shri Alok Kaushik, Advocates for Respondent No. 1.

ORDER16th March, 2011

Learned senior counsel for the appellant was heard at length. Admittedly, the final determination is yet to be done. The basic grievance of the appellant is that, while formulating the Regulatory Philosophy and Approach in Economic Regulation of Airport Operators, the scope of exclusion of certain concessions have not been kept in view, which, according to him, is required to be done in terms of Section 13(1)(a)(vi) of the Airports Economic Regulatory Authority of India Act, 2008 (in short 'the Act').

-2-

Since the final determination is yet to be done, as spelt out in Paragraph

17.5.13 of the Regulatory Philosophy and Approach in Economic Regulation of

Airports Operators, in case the appellant has any further grievance, when it is

done, it can be challenged, if available under Section 17(b) and Section 18(2) of

the Act.

Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of.

[Dr. Arijit Pasayat]

Chairman

[Rahul Sarin]

Member

[Pravin Tripathi]

Member